



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,952	12/05/2001	Yves Schabes	401122.0004	8503
77027	7590	11/10/2010		
Williams Mullen 222 Central Park Ave Suite 1700 Virginia Beach, VA 23462				
EXAMINER				
TO, BAOQUOC N				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2162				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/10/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/004,952

**Applicant(s)**

SCHABES ET AL.

**Examiner**

BAOQUOC TO

**Art Unit**

2162

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08/23/2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 75-85,87-91 and 97-99 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 75-85,87-91 and 97-99 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB-06)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In response to the Office Action dated on 05/12/2010, applicants amend the application as follow:

Claims amended: 75 and 98

Claims canceled: 1-74, 86 and 92-96

Claims pending: 75-85, 87-91 and 97-99

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's argument filed on 08/23/2010 has been fully reviewed and new ground of rejection in view of the applicant's argument is provided below.

Applicant argues "a first fundamental difference between Trower and present application is that Trower analyzes partially complete (or partially incomplete input requests. The input requests are not queries designed to provide information..."

Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above argument. Requests in Trower is a query wherein information is retrieved based on input requests. Applicant has provided numerous examples in the application specification for supporting the claims; however, the claims as broadest reasonable interpretation are met by Trower. Applicant is advised to amend more to clarify the claimed language.

Applicant also argues "a second fundamental difference between Trower and the present invention is that Trower's "variables" automatically correspond user input with a

category or function while the matching restriction of a partially unspecified term must be verified according to the claims of the present invention..."

Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above argument. In Trower, col.9, table 1 and 2, the user are allowed to use operators for specifying the unspecified term. These operators allow matching of the matching of the unspecified term.

Applicant also argues "moreover, the combination of Trower and Saka would not yield the process that is claimed in the present invention..."

Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant argument. Requests and queries are the same in the concepts for retrieving information. The requests in Trower are being searched for the result after the completed a partial requested. Trower might use an extra step to search for information; however, the claimed language does not negate the extra process from the Trower concept.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 75 to 99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trower, II et al. (Patent No. 6,922,810 B1) in view of (Pub. No. US 2002/0002547 A1).

As to 75, (Currently amended) Trower discloses a method of fulfilling an information need comprising:

receiving a query pertaining to the information need, the query comprised of a fully specified term and a partially unspecified term wherein the partially unspecified term is representative of a matching restriction designed to meaningfully restrict the query results (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26);

processing the query against a plurality of information containing documents to determine a query match based on the presence of the fully specified term and the presence of a match to the matching restriction of the partially unspecified term within a document, wherein the order of the fully specified term with respect to the match to the matching restriction of the partially unspecified term need not be in the same order as

the query (the rules grammar 220 can include variable in addition to wildcards.

Variables are similar to wildcards in that they can be matched by any user input...) (col. 10, lines 1-7); and

returning a result that includes the matching portion of the document in which a query match was determined (the rules grammar 220 can include variable in addition to wildcards. Variables are similar to wildcards in that they can be matched by any user input...) (col. 10, lines 1-7),

wherein the partially unspecified term includes at least one of a i) syntactical criteria (grammar rules) (col. 10, lines 1-7) including one of a noun phrase, verb phrase, prepositional phrase, adverbial phrase, and adjectival phrase (grammar rules) (col. 10, lines 1-7), or ii) a morphological criteria (grammar rules) (col. 10, lines 1-7) comprises one of a noun, verbal noun, adjective, conjunction, pronoun, adverb, verb, transitive verb, intransitive verb, verb in past tense, verb in present tense, verb in future tense, present participle of a verb, past participle of a verb, and gerund (grammar rules) (col. 10, lines 1-7); or iii) categorical (grammar rules) (col. 10, lines 1-7).

Trower does not explicitly disclose the method as recited is a query for retrieval data; However, SAKO discloses query retrieval using morphological analysis (according to the above process, for example, if the morphological analysis result shown in FIG. 17 is input, the word "Jurassic World" is extracted as keywords, and the retrieval condition for retrieving the retrieval character sequence "director" and "director of Jurassic World" is prepared, as shown in FIG. 20) (paragraph 0142). This suggests of the concept of search and retrieving of word such as director and Jurassic World is a noun as a

morphological restriction. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Trower to include concept of search and retrieving of word such as director and Jurassic Work is a noun as a morphological restriction as disclosed SAKO in order to retrieve data as accustomed to user need.

As to claim 76, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 75, further comprising:

identifying documents that contain the partially unspecified term in an index;  
identifying contexts within the index in accordance with the query wherein the index includes pre-analyzed contexts of terms appearing within the plurality of information containing documents (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26);

converting the query into a finite state automaton (FSA) (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26); and

matching the finite state automaton (FSA) against the identified contexts(for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26).

As to claim 77, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 76, wherein the finite state automaton (FSA) is a finite state transducer (FST) (computer) (col. 2, lines 49-52).

As to claim 78, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 76, wherein the finite state automaton (FSA) allows for the appearance of fully specified and at least partially unspecified terms in any order in a potential matching context (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26).

As to claim 79, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 76, wherein the finite state automaton (FSA) allows for one or more intervening words between the fully specified and at least partially unspecified terms in a potential matching context (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26).

As to claim 80, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 76, wherein the contexts are stored as finite state automatons (FSAs) (computer) (col. 2, lines 49-52).

As to claim 81, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 76, wherein the documents are accessible over the Internet (internet) (col. 4, lines 35-41).

As to claim 82, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 76, wherein the documents comprise World Wide Web Pages (internet) (col. 4, lines 35-41).



As to claim 83, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 75 further comprising assigning a score to the query match (score module) (col. 10, lines 55-67).

As to claim 84, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 83 wherein the score reflects the number of times an instance of the query match is located among the plurality of documents (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26).

As to claim 85, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 84 further comprising ranking the documents that contain a query match based on a number of times the query match is located within a document (score module) (col. 10, lines 55-67).

As to claim 86, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 75 wherein the partially unspecified term includes a syntactic or a morphological restriction (grammar rules) (col. 16-40).

As to claim 87, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 76 wherein the index comprises locations of terms within documents (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26).

As to claim 88, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 87 further comprising: determining the location of a term in the query within a document using the index; and locating a query match for the query based on the location of the term within the document (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26).

As to claim 89, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 88 further comprising ranking a plurality of the located matches or portions thereof (scoring module) (col. 10, lines 55-67).

As to claim 90, (previously presented) Trower discloses the method of claim 89 wherein the ranking is based on one or more features selected from the list consisting of: the location of a match within a document, a weight assigned to a document that contains a match, the age of a document that contains a match, the source of a document that contains a match, and a format feature of a match within a document (scoring module) (col. 5, lines 67).

As to claim 91, (New) Trower discloses the method of claim 75 wherein the query includes a delimiter indicating a first portion of the query for which a relative order is preserved for one or more terms included in the first portion, the relative order being in accordance with a physical position of each term in the first portion with respect to any

other terms in the first portion (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26).

As to claim 97, (previously presented) Trower the method of claim 75 wherein the matching restriction includes a criteria defined in accordance with a determination by a computer program (instructions program stored in (Memory, ROM and RAM) (col. 3, lines 48-50 and col. 3, lines 65-67).

As to claim 98, (Currently amended) Trower discloses a computer readable medium (Memory, ROM and RAM) (col. 3, lines 48-50 and col. 3, lines 65-67) storing a computer program product for fulfilling an information need, the computer readable medium comprising:

computer program code for receiving a query pertaining to the information need, the query comprised of a fully specified term and a partially unspecified term wherein the partially unspecified term is representative of a matching restriction designed to meaningfully restrict the query results (col. 10, lines 1-7) (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26);

computer program code for processing the query against a plurality of information containing documents to determine a query match based on the presence of the fully specified term and the presence of a match to the matching restriction of the partially unspecified term within a document, wherein the order of the fully specified term with respect to the match to the matching restriction of the partially unspecified

term need not be in the same order as the query (the rules grammar 220 can include variable in addition to wildcards. Variables are similar to wildcards in that they can be matched by any user input...); and

computer program code for returning a result that includes the matching portion of the document in which a query match was determined (the rules grammar 220 can include variable in addition to wildcards. Variable are similar to wildcards in that they can be matched by any use input...) (col. 10, lines 1-7),

wherein the partially unspecified term includes at least one of a i) syntactical criteria (grammar rules) (col. 10, lines 1-7) including one of a noun phrase, verb phrase, prepositional phrase, adverbial phrase, and adjectival phrase (grammar rules) (col. 10, lines 1-7), or ii) a morphological criteria (grammar rules) (col. 10, lines 1-7) comprises one of a noun, verbal noun, adjective, conjunction, pronoun, adverb, verb, transitive verb, intransitive verb, verb in past tense, verb in present tense, verb in future intense, present participle of a verb, past participle of a verb, and gerund (grammar rules) (col. 10, lines 1-7); or iii) categorical (grammar rules) (col. 10, lines 1-7).

Trower does not explicitly disclose the method as recited is a query for retrieval data; However, SAKO discloses query retrieval using morphological analysis (according to the above process, for example, if the morphological analysis result sown in FIG. 17 is input, the word "Jurassic World" is extracted as keywords, and the retrieval condition for retrieving the retrieval character sequence "director" and "director of Jurassic World" is prepared, as shown in FIG. 20) (paragraph 0142). This suggests of the concept of search and retrieving of word such as director and Jurassic Work is a noun as a

morphological restriction. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Trower to include concept of search and retrieving of word such as director and Jurassic Work is a noun as a morphological restriction as disclosed SAKO in order to retrieve data as accustomed to user need.

As to claim 99, (Previously amended) Trower discloses the computer readable medium of claim 98, further comprising:

computer program code for identifying documents that contain the partially unspecified term in an index, the index including pre-analyzed contexts of terms appearing within the plurality of documents (col. 10, lines 1-7) (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26); and

computer program code for identifying contexts within the index in accordance with the query, the index including preanalyzed contexts of terms appearing within a plurality of documents(col. 10, lines 1-7) (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26);

computer program code for converting the query into a finite state automaton (FSA) (col. 10, lines 1-7) (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26); and

computer program code for matching the finite state automaton (FSA) against the identified contexts(col. 10, lines 1-7) (for example what is %symbol%+ trading at ...) (col. 10, lines 20-26).

***Conclusion***

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BAOQUOC TO whose telephone number is (571)272-4041. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on 571-272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BAOQUOC TO/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162